		Superior Court of	Washington,	Coun	ty of		_		
In re		er/s (as listed on the Petitic	on):	No.					
And Respondent/s (as listed on the Petition):				Final Order and Findings on Petition to Modify Child Support Order (ORMDD) [] Clerk's action required: 1, 17					
		Final Order	and Finding Child Sup		n Petition to N Order	Modify			
1.	M	oney Judgment Sum	mary						
	[] No money judgment i	s ordered.						
	[] Summarize any mone	ey judgment from	secti	on 13 in the table l	oelow.			
		Judgment for	Debtor's nar (person who pay money)		Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest		
		Lawyer fees							
		Court costs				\$	\$		
		Other (specify):				\$	\$		
		Yearly Interest Rate:	 _% (12% unless othe	rwise li	:sted)		i		
		Lawyer (name): represents (name):							
		Lawyer (name):		rep	represents (name):				
2.	С	Court findings based on (check all that apply):							
	[[] Parents' agreement.							
	[[] Order on Motion for Default signed on (date):							
[] The court's decision after a hearing on (date):, at which (check							(check one):		

	[] these people testified (name/s):						
Find	lings & Conclusions						
3.	Jurisdiction						
	[] The court has authority to modify the current child support order because it was issued by a <i>(check one):</i>						
	[] Washington state court.						
	Different state or jurisdiction, but has been registered in a Washington state court and (check one):						
	[] All parties live In Washington now.						
	 All the parties to the current order (other than a State party) now live in this state; and 						
	 The children do not live in the state or jurisdiction where the order was issued. 						
	[] No one left in issuing state.						
	 None of the children or parties to the current order (other than a State party) live in the state or jurisdiction where the order was issued; 						
	 The person asking to modify the order (Petitioner) lives outside of Washington; and 						
	 Washington has personal jurisdiction over the Respondent because they (check all that apply): 						
	[] live in this state now.						
	[] will be personally served in this state with a <i>Summons</i> and <i>Petition</i> for this case.						
	[] lived in this state with the children.						
	[] lived in this state and paid for pregnancy costs or support for the children.						
	[] did or said something that caused the children to live in this state.						
	[] had sex in this state, which may have produced the children.						
	[] signed an agreement to join this <i>Petition</i> or other document agreeing that the court can decide his or her rights in this case.						
	[] other (specify):						
	[] Parties have consented.						
	 At least 1 child or party to the current order lives in Washington state now; and 						
	 Each party to the current order (other than a State party) has filed a consent with the court that issued the current order agreeing that a Washington court may modify the order and take continuing, exclusive jurisdiction. 						

	ould the court modify the monthly child support amount?
	Does not apply. No change was requested.
l J	No. The monthly child support amount should not be changed because there a valid reasons to change it. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are not valid
[]	Yes. The monthly child support amount should be changed as written in the ne Child Support Order because (check all that apply):
	[] Agreement – The parties agree to the changes.
	[] One year or more has passed – The current order was signed at least 1 y ago and (check all that apply):
	 the current order causes severe financial hardship for the requesting pa the children.
	 the court should add a Periodic Adjustment provision according to RCW 26.09.100. Support may be adjusted periodically as described in t new Child Support Order.
	 a child is still in high school and there is a need to extend support beyor their 18th birthday to complete high school.
	[] Two years or more have passed – The current order was signed at least 2 years (24 months) ago and <i>(check all that apply):</i>
	[] the parents' income has changed.
	[] the economic table or standards in RCW 26.19 have changed.
	[] Default or Past Agreement – The current order was issued by default or agreement, without the court independently examining the evidence to deci reasonable amount of support according to the law.
	[] Change of Circumstances – There has been a substantial change in circumstances since the current order was signed. (Describe):

] No. The person who owes support is not currently incarcerated.
] Yes. The current order does not provide for a temporary reduction (abatement) based on incarceration, and the person who owes support is in jail, prison, or a correctional facility for at least 6 months (or serving a sentence of more than 6 months). Abatement language should be included in the new Child Support Order.
6.	Should the court order a temporary reduction due to incarceration? (Abatement)
] Does not apply. No change was requested.
] No. The presumption in favor of abatement has been rebutted because it has been shown that the person who owes support has, or has access to, income or assets to provide support while incarcerated.
] No. DCS can administer the abatement.
] Yes. It has not been shown that the person who owes support has, or has access to, income or assets to provide support while incarcerated. The court should temporarily reduce the total monthly child support amount to \$10 while the person who owes support is in jail, prison, or a correctional facility for at least 6 months (or serving a sentence of more than 6 months).
	The support will remain \$10 a month through the third month after the person who owes support is released. After the third month, the support will be 50% of the original amount stated in the current order or \$50 per child, whichever is more, unless a petition for modification has been filed. One year after release, the support will return to the original amount stated in the current order. This temporary abatement of the child support obligation does not constitute modification or adjustment of the order.
7 .	Should the court change the temporary reduction (abatement) already in effect?
] Does not apply. No change was requested.
] No. The current temporary reduction (abatement) should remain as previously ordered by the court or as administered by DCS.
] Yes. The temporary reduction (abatement) previously ordered by the court or as administered by DCS should be changed because:
	The monthly child support amount should be as written in the new <i>Child Support Order</i> .
8.	Should the court modify the end date for child support?
] Does not apply. No change was requested.
] No. The end date for child support should not be changed because there are no valid reasons to change it. (<i>Explain why the reasons in the Petition are not valid</i>):

		Yes. The end date should be changed as written in the new <i>Child Support Order</i> because <i>(check all that apply):</i>						
		[] Agreement – The parties agree to the changes.						
		[] Finish High School – The current order was signed at least 1 year ago. (<i>Child's name</i>): will still be in high school when they turn 18 and will need support until they finish high school.						
		Dependent Adult Child – The current order says support must be paid for each child until each child turns 18 or is no longer enrolled in high school, whichever happens last. Support should continue past this time for <i>(child's name)</i> :						
		themself and will remain dependent past the age of 18. This child's situation has changed substantially since the current order was signed. (Describe):						
		Default or Past Agreement – The current order was issued by default or agreement, without the court independently examining the evidence to decide a reasonable end date for support according to the law.						
		[] Other – (Specify):						
9.	Should the court modify post-secondary educational support?							
	[] Does not apply. No change was requested.							
		[] No. The court should not change or order post-secondary support because there are no valid reasons. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are not valid):						
	•							
	1	Yes. Issue was reserved – The current order allows a parent/custodian to ask the court for post-secondary support at a later date. The children depend on the parents for the reasonable necessities of life. The court has considered the factors in RCW 26.19.090(2) and decided that post-secondary support should be ordered as written in the new <i>Child Support Order</i> .						
		Yes. Support was granted, need to set an amount – The current order says the parents must pay for the children's post-secondary support, but did not set a payment amount or percentage. The court has considered the financial resources of the parents and the child, the expenses for post-secondary education, and other relevant information. The court approves the post-secondary support amount or percentage of expenses written on the new <i>Child Support Order</i> .						
		Yes. Modify – The court should change post-secondary support as follows (check all that apply):						
		[] Require – The current order says post-secondary support is not required. The court should modify the order so that post-secondary support is required for <i>(Children's names):</i> This child depends on the parents for the reasonable necessities of life. The court has considered the factors in RCW 26.19.090(2) and decided that post-						

		econdary support should be ordered as written on the new <i>Child Support</i> rder.	
	se	ancel – The current order says the parents must pay for the children's post-condary (college or vocational school) support. The court should change e order so that post-secondary support is no longer required.	
	an or	nange Amount – The current order requires the parents to pay a specific nount or percentage of expenses for the children's post-secondary (collego vocational school) support. The court should change the amount or excentage as written on the new <i>Child Support Order</i> .	
Tł	ese ch	anges should be made because (check all that apply):	
[]	Agree	ement – The parties agree to the changes in the new Child Support Orde	r.
[]	agree	alt or Past Agreement – The current order was issued by default or ment, without the court independently examining the evidence to decide issues.	
[]		ge of Circumstances – There has been a substantial change in stances since the current order was signed.	
	[] Se	ee change of circumstances described in section 4 above.	
	[] Ot	ther (Describe):	
hould	the co	urt modify payment for children's expenses or tax issues?	
Impo law th	rtant! /	urt modify payment for children's expenses or tax issues? Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as	
Impo law th depe	rtant! A prough t ndent.	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal	
Impo law the dependence [] Do the	rtant! A prough to ndent. pes not o. The co	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. Sourt should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are	
Impo law the dependence [] Do the	rtant! A prough to ndent. pes not or The co	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. Sourt should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are	
Impo law the dependent [] Do the	rtant! A prough to ndent. pes not or The co	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. Sourt should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are	
Impo law the dependence [] Doo the	rtant! A prough to ndent. pes not or The co	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. Sourt should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are	
Impo law the dependence [] No the no ———————————————————————————————————	rtant! Anrough to andent. Des not be are are at valid):	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. Sourt should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are	
Impo law the dependence [] No the no ———————————————————————————————————	rtant! And rough to and rough t	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. court should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are	
Impo law the dependence [] No the no ———————————————————————————————————	rtant! Anrough to indent. Des not indent. The coere are interest valid): es. The upport (Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. Court should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are court should order or modify the following as written in the new Child Order (check all that apply):	
Impo law the dependence [] No the no	rtant! Annough to indent. Des not inden	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. Court should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are court should order or modify the following as written in the new Child Order (check all that apply): The provided High and the suspenses of the provided and the provided are court should order or modify the following as written in the new Child Order (check all that apply): The provided High and the provided are currently suspended under federal and the provided under federal	
Impo law the dependence [] No the no	rtant! An arough to a dent. Des not on the control of the control	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal fax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. Sourt should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are court should order or modify the following as written in the new Child Order (check all that apply): Source expenses. Successional expenses.	
Impo law the dependence [] No the no	rtant! And rough to read the content of the content	Although personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as apply. No change was requested. Sourt should not change payment for other expense or tax issues because no valid reasons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are court should order or modify the following as written in the new Child Order (check all that apply): Source expenses. Source distance transportation expenses.	

[]	Agreement – The parties agree to the changes. 2 years or more have passed – It has been at least 2 full years (24 months) since the order was signed and these requests are based only on changes in the parents' income or the economic table or standards in RCW 26.19.
:	since the order was signed and these requests are based only on changes in the
[]	parents income of the economic table of standards in NCVV 20.13.
;	Default or Past Agreement – The current order was issued by default or agreement, without the court independently examining the evidence to decide these issues.
	Change of Circumstances – There has been a substantial change in circumstances since the current order was signed.
	[] See change of circumstances described in section 4 above.
	[] Other (Describe):
Should	the court modify health insurance orders?
	•
[] Doe	es not apply. No change was requested.
	sons for change. (Explain why the reasons in the Petition are not valid):
	s. The court should change health insurance orders as written in the new Child oport Order. These changes should be made because (check all that apply):
[].	Agreement – The parties agree to the changes in the new <i>Child Support Order</i> .
	2 years or more have passed – It has been at least 2 full years (24 months) since the order was signed and these changes are based only on changes in the parents' income or the economic table or standards in RCW 26.19.
	Default or Past Agreement – The current order was issued by default or agreement, without the court independently examining the evidence to decide these issues.
	Change of Circumstances – There has been a substantial change in circumstances since the current order was signed.
	[] See change of circumstances described in section 4 above.
	Other (Describe):
verpavi	ment / underpayment caused by modification

	[] Underpayment – The changes to the <i>Child Support Order</i> caused an underpayment of support or other expenses. The underpayment must be paid according to the judgment in section 23 of the new <i>Child Support Order</i> .									
	[] Overpayment – The chasupport or other expense of the new <i>Child Support</i> reduction in support (absupport, there is no cree Child Support is notified	es. The overpayme rt Order. However, i atement) due to the dit or refund for pay	nt must be repaid a f the changes are be incarceration of the ments received bef	according to ecause of e person w	section 24 a temporary ho owes					
13.	Fees and costs									
	[] Does not apply. Neither party asked that the other party pay their lawyer fees and costs.									
	[] (Name): fees, and other reasona because (explain):	ble costs listed in th	ne Money Judgmen	t in section	sts, lawyer 16 below					
	[] (Name):	easonable costs be	should not hat cause (explain):	ave to pay	court costs,					
	lawyer fees, and other reasonable costs because (explain):									
	Other:									
	Other findings, if any									
Cour	Orders									
15.	Decision									
	[] The <i>Petition</i> is denied.	The current final <i>Ch</i>	ild Support Order re	emains in e	ffect.					
	[] The final Child Support	Order and Workshe	eets signed by the c	ourt today						
16.		are a	•	ерагацету.						
10.	Money judgment for fees and costs (summarized on page 1)									
	[] No money judgment is ordered.[] The court orders a money judgment for fees and costs as follows:									
					1					
	Judgment for	Debtor's name (person who must pay money)	Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest					
	[] Lawyer fees			\$	\$					
	[] Court costs			\$	\$					
	[] Other fees and expenses (specify):			\$	\$					

	The interest	t rate is 12% ur	nless anoth	er amount is listed below.				
	[] The inter	est rate is	% because	e (explain):				
					_			
17.	Other orders (if any)							
Ordere								
0.40.0	, d. i		•					
Date			Judge or C	Commissioner				
Petitio	ner and Respo	ondent or thei	r lawyers f	ill out below.				
This do	cument (check	k any that apply	<i>'</i>):	This document (check an	y that apply):			
[] is pro	agreement of the esented by me be signed by the	·	otice to me	[] is an agreement of the p [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the co				
•				>				
Petition	er signs here or	lawyer signs her	e WSBA#	Respondent signs here/law	ver signs here WSBA#			
Print N	lame		 Date	Print Name	 Date			